

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
BROWNSVILLE DIVISION**

**SERGIO ALVARADO** §  
**AND GLORIA ALVARADO,** §  
Plaintiffs, §  
§  
vs. §  
§  
**STATE FARM LLOYDS,** §  
Defendant. §

**CASE NO. 1:16-cv-183**

**EXHIBIT 2A**  
Plaintiffs' Original Petition

FILED  
2016-DCL-03628  
5/31/2016 4:06:57 PM

Eric Garza  
Cameron County District Clerk  
By Beatriz Losoya Deputy Clerk  
10899093

2016-DCL-03628

CAUSE NO. \_\_\_\_\_

SERGIO ALVARADO § IN THE DISTRICT COURT  
AND GLORIA ALVARADO, §  
*Plaintiffs*, § Cameron County - 103rd District Court  
vs. § \_\_\_\_\_ JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
STATE FARM LLOYDS, §  
*Defendant*. § CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS

**PLAINTIFFS' ORIGINAL PETITION  
AND REQUEST FOR RULE 194.2 DISCLOSURES**

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

NOW COME, SERGIO ALVARADO AND GLORIA ALVARADO, hereinafter referred to as ("PLAINTIFFS"), and file their Original Petition against STATE FARM LLOYDS, (hereinafter referred to as "DEFENDANT") and for cause of action would respectfully show the Court the following:

**I.  
Discovery**

Pursuant to Rule 190 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, PLAINTIFFS intend to conduct discovery under Level 3.

**II.  
Parties and Service**

PLAINTIFFS own the following dwelling, policy and were assigned the following claim number:

**SERGIO AND GLORIA ALVARADO;  
1617 E. TAYLOR ST., HARLINGEN, TEXAS 78550-7348;  
POLICY NUMBER: 83NP71720; AND  
CLAIM NO.: 53-590M-894**

DEFENDANT STATE FARM LLOYDS, is a Texas Corporation duly licensed to conduct business in Texas and is the INSURANCE COMPANY complained of in this matter and may be served with process by serving its registered agent: Corporation Service Company, 211 E. 7<sup>th</sup> St. Ste. 620., Austin, Texas. Service may be perfected via Certified Mail Return Receipt Requested.

**III.**  
**Jurisdiction and Venue**

Venue of this action is proper in Cameron County, Texas because the policy at issue was issued and delivered in Cameron County, Texas, because the property insured is situated in Cameron County, Texas, because PLAINTIFFS' losses occurred in Cameron County, Texas, and because all or part of the events made the basis of this lawsuit and giving rise to PLAINTIFFS' claims and causes of action occurred in Cameron County, Texas.  
Jurisdiction is proper in this state as the DEFENDANT is conducting business in the State of Texas. The insurance business done by the DEFENDANT in Texas includes, but is not limited to, the following:

1. The making and issuing of contracts of insurance with PLAINTIFFS;
2. The taking or receiving of application for insurance, including PLAINTIFFS' applications for insurance;
3. The receiving or collection of premiums, commissions, membership fees, assessments, dues or other consideration for any insurance or any part thereof, including any such consideration or payments from PLAINTIFFS;
4. The issuance or delivery of contracts of insurance to residents of this state or a person authorized to do business in this state, including PLAINTIFFS; and
5. The investigation and or adjustment of insurance claims within the state of Texas.

PLAINTIFFS, as defined, within the meaning as will be set forth in the following paragraphs.

**IV. Rule 47 Statement**

The amount in controversy and damages sought are within the jurisdictional limits of the Court. Plaintiffs seek monetary relief of \$75,000.00 or less, including damages of any kind, penalties, costs, expenses, pre-judgment and post-judgment interest and attorney's fees.

**V.  
Facts**

DEFENDANT and/or its agents committed the actions alleged against PLAINTIFFS in this complaint. DEFENDANT provided coverage to the PLAINTIFFS for such dwelling, personal property, and other matters under the Insurance Policy.

PLAINTIFFS, as listed above, own a home in Cameron County and were insured an insurance policy insuring that home by DEFENDANT. On or about May 28, 2014, the structures were subjected to covered damages. PLAINTIFFS promptly reported their losses and were assigned the claim number listed above.

During the term of said policy, PLAINTIFFS sustained covered losses in the form of wind, hailstorm, and water damages resulting from openings created by those perils, including damage to the architectural finishes of the property. The damages were from the hailstorm, which devastated property in Cameron County, Texas. As a result of the covered events, PLAINTIFFS' property sustained damages, including the cost of destruction and restoration of the property necessary to access and fix the damaged areas. The damages sustained to PLAINTIFFS' home are covered damages under the insurance policy; however, DEFENDANT has failed to fully compensate PLAINTIFFS for the damages to their home in accordance with

their policy. PLAINTIFFS have been damaged in an amount in excess of the minimum jurisdictional limits of this Court, including injuries sustained as a result of having to live in a damaged home during the pendency of DEFENDANT'S claims decision.

## **VI. Conditions Precedent**

All notices and proof of loss were timely and properly given to DEFENDANT in such manner as to fully comply with the terms and conditions of the relevant insurance policies or other contracts and applicable law. More than sixty days prior to the filing of this suit, written demand for payment and notice of complaint pursuant to Texas Insurance Code, Section 541 and Business and Commerce Code Section 17.505(a), was sent to DEFENDANT. All of the conditions precedent to bring this suit under the insurance policy, and applicable statutory regimes have occurred. Despite the fact that all conditions precedent to PLAINTIFFS' recovery have occurred and/or have been performed, DEFENDANT failed and refused to pay PLAINTIFFS a just amount in accordance with their contractual obligations, agreements, and representations.

There is no federal question nor any allegations giving rise to a federal question.

## **VII. Breach of Contract**

PLAINTIFFS purchased an insurance policy with DEFENDANT. PLAINTIFFS' property was damaged by the covered perils of windstorm and hailstorm. These perils created openings through which water damage resulted. These damages are covered under the insurance policy. DEFENDANT has denied and/or delayed payment of PLAINTIFFS' covered claims.

DEFENDANT had no reasonable basis for denying, delaying, or failing to pay PLAINTIFFS' claims for damages. DEFENDANT knew or should have known that there was no such reasonable basis to deny, delay, and fail to pay such claims. The conduct of DEFENDANT was irresponsible and unconscionable. DEFENDANT took advantage of the PLAINTIFFS' lack of sophistication in insurance and construction matters to a grossly unfair degree. DEFENDANT has, by its conduct, breached its contract with PLAINTIFFS. The conduct of DEFENDANT has proximately caused damages to PLAINTIFFS.

### **VIII. DTPA Violations**

PLAINTIFFS are consumers entitled to relief under the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices-Consumer Protection Act ("DTPA"). By their conduct outlined above, DEFENDANT has engaged in the following violations of the DTPA, which, together and separately, has been a producing cause of PLAINTIFFS' damages:

- (a) DEFENDANT made false representations about PLAINTIFFS' rights, remedies and obligations under the policy at issue. These statements were a misrepresentation of the insurance policy and their benefits in violation of §§17.46(b)(5), (7), (12) and (14), Texas Business & Commerce Code;
- (b) DEFENDANT'S actions constitute an unconscionable course of conduct entitling PLAINTIFF to relief under §17.50(a)(1), (2), (3), and (4) of the Texas Business & Commerce Code;
- (c) DEFENDANT failed to disclose information to PLAINTIFFS concerning the nature and extent of their insurance policy which was known by DEFENDANT at the time for the purpose of inducing PLAINTIFFS into transactions which they would not have otherwise entered in violation of Section 17.46(b)(9) and (23), Texas Business and Commerce Code;
- (d) As described above, DEFENDANT violated Chapter 541, Texas Insurance Code, entitling PLAINTIFFS to relief under Section 17.50(a)(4), Texas Business and Commerce Code.

DEFENDANT took advantage of PLAINTIFFS' lack of knowledge in construction and insurance claims processes, misrepresented losses covered under the insurance policy and failed to disclose pertinent information regarding damages to PLAINTIFFS' property.

DEFENDANT'S conduct as described herein was a producing cause of damages to PLAINTIFFS for which they sue. The conduct of DEFENDANT as more than just a mistake and was done "knowingly" and/or "intentionally" as that term is derived by statute. Because of its conduct, DEFENDANT may be subject to liability for additional damages under the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act. PLAINTIFFS seek an award under the DTPA for economic damages and all other available damages as a result of Defendant's conduct.

## **IX. Unfair Insurance Practices**

DEFENDANT failed to inform PLAINTIFFS of material facts such as the true scope of damages, terms of the policy, and cost to repair. DEFENDANT failed to properly process claims and has misrepresented material facts to PLAINTIFFS. DEFENDANT has failed to address all damage to the property and its contents causing further damage to PLAINTIFFS.

Further, DEFENDANT intentionally failed to fully investigate the loss; failed to properly convey all information to PLAINTIFFS and has intentionally ignored damages to the dwelling. PLAINTIFFS' property suffered from covered losses and damages of which DEFENDANT is fully aware. DEFENDANT concealed damage known by it to exist. DEFENDANT knew about covered windstorm and water damages but failed to perform proper testing and concealed facts from PLAINTIFFS about the damages, such as the amount of and the extent of covered losses, preferring instead to pay a small portion of loss and to deny the rest. PLAINTIFFS attempted to

dispute these charges on their own but were forced to hire their attorneys when DEFENDANT failed to respond.

By its conduct outlined above, DEFENDANT committed unfair practices in the business of insurance prohibited by Chapter 541, Texas Insurance Code, and the statutes, rules and regulations incorporated therein. DEFENDANT committed the following acts in violation of Texas Insurance Code and Texas Administrative Code:

- (1) DEFENDANT failed to, with good faith, effectuate a prompt, fair, and equitable settlement of PLAINTIFFS' claims once liability became reasonably clear (Tex. Ins. Code Ann. 541.060(a)(2)(A); Tex. Ins. Code Ann. 542.003(b)(4); 28 TAC Section 21.203(4));
- (2) DEFENDANT failed to provide promptly to PLAINTIFFS a reasonable explanation of the basis in the policy, in relation to the facts or applicable law, for denial of the claim or for the offer of a compromise settlement of the claim (Tex. Ins. Code Ann. 541.060(a)(3); 28 TAC Section 21.203(9));
- (3) DEFENDANT refused to pay a claim without conducting a reasonable investigation with respect to that claim (Tex. Ins. Code Ann. 541.060(a)(7); TAC Section 21.203(15));
- (4) DEFENDANT breached its common law duty of good faith and fair dealing;
- (5) DEFENDANT failed within a reasonable time to affirm or deny coverage of a claim to a policyholder. (Tex. Ins. Code Ann. 541.060(a)(4)(A); 28 TAC Section 21.203(10));
- (6) DEFENDANT failed to adopt and implement reasonable standards for the prompt investigation of claims arising under the insurer's policies (Tex. Ins. Code Ann. 542.003(b)(3); 28 TAC Section 21.203(3));
- (7) DEFENDANT compelled PLAINTIFFS to institute a suit to recover an amount due under a policy by offering substantially less than the amount ultimately recovered in a suit brought by the policyholder (Tex. Ins. Code Ann. 542.003(b)(5); 28 TAC Section 21.203(6));
- (8) DEFENDANT violated the Prompt Payment of Claims Statute (28 TAC Section 21.203(18));

DEFENDANT (9) DEFENDANT undertook to enforce a full and final release of a claim from a policyholder when only a partial payment has been made, unless the payment is a compromise settlement of a doubtful or disputed claim (Tex. Ins. Code Ann. 541.060(a)(6); 28 TAC Section 21.203(13));

(10) DEFENDANT committed the following unfair methods of competition or deceptive acts or practices in the business of insurance in violation of Texas Insurance Code and the Texas Administrative Code by:

(a) DEFENDANT made, issued or circulated or caused to be made, issued or circulated an estimate, illustration, circular or statement misrepresenting with respect to the policy issued or to be issued:

- (i) the terms of the policy; and/or
- (ii) the benefits or advantages promised by the policy.

(b) DEFENDANT made untrue statements of material facts (Tex. Ins. Code Ann. 541.060(a)(1); 28 TAC Section 21.203(1));

(c) DEFENDANT failed to state a material fact necessary to make other statements made not misleading considering the circumstances under which statements were made;

(d) DEFENDANT made statements in a manner that would mislead a reasonably prudent person to a false conclusion of material fact; and

(e) DEFENDANT is refusing, failing, or unreasonably delaying a settlement offer under applicable first-party coverage on the basis that other coverage may be available or that third parties are responsible for the damages suffered, except as may be specifically provided in the policy (Tex. Ins. Code Ann 541.060(a)(5); 28 TAC Section 21.203(11)).

The conduct of DEFENDANT as described herein was a producing cause of damages to PLAINTIFFS.

**Breach of the Duty of Good Faith and Fair Dealing**

From and after the time PLAINTIFFS' claim was presented to DEFENDANT, liability to

pay the claim in accordance with the terms of insurance policy referenced above has been reasonably clear. Despite there being no basis whatsoever on which a reasonable INSURANCE COMPANY would have relied to deny and/or delay payment for PLAINTIFFS' claim, DEFENDANT refused to accept the claim in totality and pay PLAINTIFFS as the policy required. At that time, DEFENDANT knew or should have known by the exercise of reasonable diligence that its liability was reasonably clear. DEFENDANT failed to conduct a reasonable and proper inspection of the claims and refused to rely on the true facts, resorting instead to producing faulty, incomplete and biased reasons to avoid paying a valid claim.

This constitutes failing to handle or process PLAINTIFFS' claim in good faith, an affirmative duty placed on the DEFENDANT, as expressly stated by the Texas Supreme Court in *Vail v. Texas Farm Bureau*, 754 S.W.2d 129 at 135 (Tex. 1988). Through the actions described above, DEFENDANT breached its duty to deal fairly and in good faith with PLAINTIFFS. DEFENDANT'S breach was a proximate cause of the losses, expenses, and damages suffered by PLAINTIFFS for which they sue.

## XI. Texas Insurance Code 542, Subchapter B Delay in Payment

PLAINTIFFS gave prompt notice of their claim to DEFENDANT and DEFENDANT engaged in unfair settlement claim practices as discussed above and denied and/or has delayed payment on PLAINTIFFS' claim. DEFENDANT'S investigation and reliance on reports and estimates from its adjusters and investigating adjusters has been "merely pretextual" and unreasonable. DEFENDANT'S minimal investigation and use of adjusters' reports was an "outcome oriented investigation". PLAINTIFFS dispute the reliability of its investigative findings. DEFENDANT has failed to comply with the requirements of Chapter 542 listed

herein:

- (a) Failing to notify PLAINTIFFS in writing, within 15 business days after receiving all of the items, statements, and forms required by the insurer to secure final proof of loss, of the acceptance or rejection of a claim; and/or
- (b) Failing to pay PLAINTIFFS' claim within 60 days of receiving all of the items, statements, and forms required by the insurer to secure final proof of loss, of the acceptance or rejection of a claim; and
- (c) Failing to request all of the items, statements and forms the DEFENDANT reasonably believed at the time would be required from PLAINTIFFS to pay the claim within 15 days after receiving notice of the claim.

Pursuant to Texas Insurance Code Chapter 542, Subchapter B, PLAINTIFFS are entitled to recover from DEFENDANT the statutory penalty of 18% per annum on all amounts due on PLAINTIFFS' claim, together with attorney's fees, for which they sue.

## XII.

PLAINTIFFS allege that as to any terms, conditions, notices, or requests under the insurance contract, PLAINTIFFS have substantially complied and/or compliance is excused. In the alternative, PLAINTIFFS make the allegation of waiver and/or estoppel as to every defense or exclusion pled by DEFENDANT and furthermore would show that:

1. The clear and unambiguous language of the policy provides coverage for dwelling damage caused by windstorm and water damage, including the cost of access to fix the damaged areas. Any other construction of the language of the policy is void as against public policy;
2. Any other construction and its use by DEFENDANT violates Section 541 and 542 of the Texas Insurance Code and are void as against public policy;
3. Any other construction violates Art. 17.50 of the Texas Business and Commerce Code, is unconscionable, was procured by fraudulent inducement, and is void as against public policy;
4. Any other construction is otherwise void as against public policy, illegal, and violates state law and administrative rule and regulation;

5. The adoption of any other construction constitutes wrongful or bad faith cancellation and/or refusal to renew a portion of PLAINTIFFS' predecessor policy with DEFENDANT. In this regard, PLAINTIFFS would show that a similar insurance policy was renewed uninterrupted for many years; and
6. The adoption of any other construction constitutes conduct in violation of the laws of this state; including Section 541 and 542 of Texas Insurance Code is void as against public policy.

If this Court finds any ambiguity in the policy, the rules of construction of such policies mandate the construction and interpretation urged by PLAINTIFFS.

In the alternative, DEFENDANT is judicially, administratively, or equitably stopped from denying PLAINTIFFS' construction of the policy coverage at issue.

To the extent that the wording of such policy does not reflect the true intent of all parties thereto, PLAINTIFFS plead the doctrine of mutual mistake requiring reformation.

### **XIII. Damages**

Due to the acts and omissions of the Defendant, more specifically set forth above, Plaintiffs seek to recover all actual and special damages, including but not limited to the following: past, present, and future costs of repair to Plaintiffs' home, any investigative and engineering fees incurred in the claim, cost of mitigation, reliance damages, restitution damages, and costs of alternative housing while repairs are occurring. The Plaintiffs are also entitled to recover consequential damages from Defendant's breach of contract. The Plaintiffs are also entitled to recover the amount of Plaintiffs' claim plus 18% per annum penalty on that claim against Defendant as damages under Section 542 of the Texas Insurance Code, plus pre-judgment and post judgment interest and attorney's fees.

Plaintiffs' damages as described in this petition are within the jurisdictional limits of the Court.

**XIV.**  
**Request for Rule 194.2 Disclosures**

Under Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 194.2, PLAINTIFFS request that DEFENDANT disclose, within 50 days of the service of this request, the information or material described in Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 194.2.

**XV.**  
**Prayer**

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, PLAINTIFFS respectfully requests this Honorable Court for the following relief: That DEFENDANT be cited to appear and that upon final hearing and trial hereof, this Honorable Court grant to the PLAINTIFFS such relief as to which they may show themselves justly entitled, either at law or in equity; either general or special, including declaratory judgment, judgment against the DEFENDANT for economic damages, attorney's fees, cost of suit, pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, and for such other and further relief to which Plaintiffs may be justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

Law Offices of R. Kent Livesay, P.C.  
2510 S. Veterans Rd.  
Edinburg, Texas 78539  
(956) 686-5776 Telephone  
(956) 686-0050 Facsimile  
litigation@livesaylawfirm.com

By: /s/ R. Kent Livesay  
R. Kent Livesay  
Texas Bar No. 00790057

**ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFFS**

2000

Plaintiffs' Original Petition

 *Plaintiffs' Original Petition*

CAUSE NUMBER (FOR CLERK USE ONLY):

COURT (FOR CLERK USE ONLY):

STYLED Sergio Alvarado and Gloria Alvarado v. State Farm Lloyds

(e.g., John Smith v. All American Insurance Co; In re Mary Ann Jones; In the Matter of the Estate of George Jackson)

A civil case information sheet must be completed and submitted when an original petition or application is filed to initiate a new civil, family law, probate, or mental health case or when a post-judgment petition for modification or motion for enforcement is filed in a family law case. The information should be the best available at the time of filing.

## 1. Contact information for person completing case information sheet:

Name: <u>R. Kent Livesay</u>	Email: <u>livesay@livesaylfirm.com</u>
Address: <u>2510 S. Veterans Rd.</u>	Telephone: <u>956-686-3776</u>
City/State/Zip: <u>Edinburg, Texas 78539</u>	Fax: <u>956-686-0050</u>
Signature: <u>R. Kent Livesay</u>	
State Bar No: <u>00790057</u>	

## Names of parties in case:

Plaintiff(s)/Petitioner(s):

Sergio Alvarado  
Gloria Alvarado

## Person or entity completing sheet is:

Attorney for Plaintiff/Petitioner  
 Pro Se Plaintiff/Petitioner  
 Title IV-D Agency  
 Other

## Additional Parties in Child Support Case:

Custodial Parent:

State Farm Lloyds

Non-Custodial Parent:

Presumed Father:

[Attach additional page as necessary to list all parties]

## 2. Indicate case type, or identify the most important issue in the case (select only 1):

Civil		Family Law		
Debt/Contract	Injury or Damage	Real Property	Marriage Relationship	Post-Judgment Actions (Title IV-D)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer/DTPA <input type="checkbox"/> Debt/Contract <input type="checkbox"/> Fraud/Misrepresentation <input type="checkbox"/> Other Debt/Contract	<input type="checkbox"/> Assault/Battery <input type="checkbox"/> Construction <input type="checkbox"/> Defamation <input type="checkbox"/> Malpractice <input type="checkbox"/> Accounting <input type="checkbox"/> Legal <input type="checkbox"/> Medical <input type="checkbox"/> Other Professional Liability  <input type="checkbox"/> Motor Vehicle Accident <input type="checkbox"/> Premises <input type="checkbox"/> Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> Asbestos/Silica <input type="checkbox"/> Other Product Liability List Product:  <input type="checkbox"/> Other Injury or Damage:	<input type="checkbox"/> Eminent Domain/Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> Partition <input type="checkbox"/> Quiet Title <input type="checkbox"/> Trespass to Try Title <input type="checkbox"/> Other Property:	<input type="checkbox"/> Annulment <input type="checkbox"/> Declare Marriage Void <input type="checkbox"/> Divorce <input type="checkbox"/> With Children <input type="checkbox"/> No Children	<input type="checkbox"/> Enforcement <input type="checkbox"/> Modification—Custody <input type="checkbox"/> Modification—Other  <input type="checkbox"/> Title IV-D
Foreclosure		Related to Criminal Matters	Other Family Law	Parent-Child Relationship
<input type="checkbox"/> Home Equity—Expedited <input type="checkbox"/> Other Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Franchise <input type="checkbox"/> Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> Landlord/Tenant <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Competition <input type="checkbox"/> Partnership <input type="checkbox"/> Other Contract:		<input type="checkbox"/> Expunction <input type="checkbox"/> Judgment Nisi <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Seizure/Forfeiture <input type="checkbox"/> Writ of Habeas Corpus—Pre-Indictment <input type="checkbox"/> Other:	<input type="checkbox"/> Enforce Foreign Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> Habeas Corpus <input type="checkbox"/> Name Change <input type="checkbox"/> Protective Order <input type="checkbox"/> Removal of Disabilities of Minority <input type="checkbox"/> Other:	<input type="checkbox"/> Adoption/Adoption with Termination <input type="checkbox"/> Child Protection <input type="checkbox"/> Child Support <input type="checkbox"/> Custody or Visitation <input type="checkbox"/> Gestational Parenting <input type="checkbox"/> Grandparent Access <input type="checkbox"/> Parentage/Paternity <input type="checkbox"/> Termination of Parental Rights <input type="checkbox"/> Other Parent-Child:
Employment	Other Civil			
<input type="checkbox"/> Discrimination <input type="checkbox"/> Retaliation <input type="checkbox"/> Termination <input type="checkbox"/> Workers' Compensation <input type="checkbox"/> Other Employment:	<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative Appeal <input type="checkbox"/> Antitrust/Unfair Competition <input type="checkbox"/> Code Violations <input type="checkbox"/> Foreign Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> Intellectual Property	<input type="checkbox"/> Lawyer Discipline <input type="checkbox"/> Perpetuate Testimony <input type="checkbox"/> Securities/Stock <input type="checkbox"/> Tortious Interference <input type="checkbox"/> Other:		
Tax	Probate/Wills/Intestate Administration		Guardianship—Adult	
<input type="checkbox"/> Tax Appraisal <input type="checkbox"/> Tax Delinquency <input type="checkbox"/> Other Tax	<input type="checkbox"/> Dependent Administration <input type="checkbox"/> Independent Administration <input type="checkbox"/> Other Estate Proceedings		<input type="checkbox"/> Guardianship—Minor <input type="checkbox"/> Mental Health <input type="checkbox"/> Other:	
			Probate & Mental Health	
			<input type="checkbox"/> Guardianship—Adult <input type="checkbox"/> Guardianship—Minor <input type="checkbox"/> Mental Health <input type="checkbox"/> Other:	
3. Indicate procedure or remedy, if applicable. (may select more than 1):				
<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal from Municipal or Justice Court <input type="checkbox"/> Arbitration-related <input type="checkbox"/> Attachment <input type="checkbox"/> Bill of Review <input type="checkbox"/> Certiorari <input type="checkbox"/> Class Action	<input type="checkbox"/> Declaratory Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> Garnishment <input type="checkbox"/> Interpleader <input type="checkbox"/> License <input type="checkbox"/> Mandamus <input type="checkbox"/> Post-judgment:		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prejudgment Remedy <input type="checkbox"/> Protective Order <input type="checkbox"/> Receiver <input type="checkbox"/> Sequestration <input type="checkbox"/> Temporary Restraining Order/Injunction <input type="checkbox"/> Turnover	
4. Indicate damages sought (do not select if it is a family law case):				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Less than \$100,000, including damages of any kind, penalties, costs, expenses, pre-judgment interest, and attorney fees <input type="checkbox"/> Less than \$100,000 and non-monetary relief <input type="checkbox"/> Over \$100,000 but not more than \$200,000 <input type="checkbox"/> Over \$200,000 but not more than \$1,000,000 <input type="checkbox"/> Over \$1,000,000				

**CERTIFIED MAIL**

Case 1:16-cv-00183 Document 1-4 Filed in TXSD on 07/21/16 Page 16 of 16

GARNETT PROCESS SERVING  
412 W., CAVAZOS ST.  
EDINBURG, TEXAS 78539



2016 0910 0000 5961 7622

STATE FARM LLOYDS  
R.A. CORPORATION SERVICE  
COMPANY  
211 E 7<sup>TH</sup> ST SUITE 620  
AUSTIN TX 78728



7870133218 0015